UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,840	09/23/2005	Jacobus Cornelis Haartsen	P16747-US1	5481
27045 ERICSSON IN	7590 03/06/2008 C.	8	EXAM	INER
6300 LEGACY	DRIVE		SAFAIPOUR, BOBBAK	
M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		•	2618	
				- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	mw			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/550,840	HAARTSEN, JACOBUS CORNELIS			
omee Heading Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	BOBBAK SAFAIPOUR	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a significant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  by be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	<u>2/10/2007</u> .				
This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	·			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement				
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	u/or election requirement.				
Application Papers		· ;			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor					
	Examinor. Note the attached				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		olication No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p					
application from the International Bur		-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)	_				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application			
. apor 110(0)/111an Dato	-,	•			

Art Unit: 2618

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/10/2007 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 1-26 are still pending in the present application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-11, 14-22, and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szienski (UK Patent Application GB 2 366134 A) in view of Muramatsu (US 2001/0012774 A1).

Consider claim 1, Szienski discloses a method for managing traffic in a network, involving a communication device with a limited power supply (abstract), characterized by determining a current level of available power in said power supply for transmitting and receiving functions of said communication device (pg 2, lines 7-15, 28-33; pg 3, lines 10-33; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21); communicating said power level to a controller (figures 2 and 5; pg 6, lines 7-20); determining a current power drain rate of said power source (figures 2 and 5; pg 6, line 22 to pg 7, line 4; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21); detecting a need for data transfer associated with said communication device, wherein said data transfer is one of an incoming call to said communication device and a request for transmission from said communication device (pg 1, lines 4-33; pg 7, lines 6 -15; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21); determining a quantity of data relating to said data transfer (figure 4; pg 7 line 17 to pg 8 line 16), calculating whether said power level is sufficient to effect the transfer of said data (pg 2, lines 7-15, 28-33; pg 3, lines 10-33; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21); and signaling said controller to effect said data transfer according to said power level calculations (figures 4-5; pg 7, line 17 to pg 8, 16; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21).

Art Unit: 2618

Szienski fails to disclose wherein the quality of service level provided to the communication device in the network is changed in response to said power level calculations.

In related art, Muramatsu discloses a quality of service level provided to the communication device in the network is changed in response to said power level calculations. (abstract; figures 3-4; paragraphs 43-53; As the output voltage level of the battery decrease, the data transmittable/receivable amount will decrease.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Muramatsu into the teachings of Szienski to decrease the burden on a network and acquire predetermined information quickly and smoothly.

Consider claim 14, Szienski discloses an apparatus for managing traffic in a network involving a communication device with a limited power supply (abstract), characterized by: a transceiver for receiving and transmitting messages (figure 1); a controller for monitoring a current power level of said power supply and a calculated power drain rate of said communication device (pg 2, lines 7-15, 28-33; pg 3, lines 10-33; pg 6, lines 7-20; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21); means coupled to said power supply for determining said power drain rate of said communication device (figures 2 and 5; pg 6, line 22 to pg 7, line 4; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21); and signal means for signaling said communication device to one of receive and transmit messages according to said current power level and said drain rate (figures 4-5; pg 7, line 17 to pg 8, 16; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21).

Szienski fails to disclose wherein the quality of service provided to the communication device in the network is changed in response to said power level calculations.

In related art, Muramatsu discloses a quality of service level provided to the communication device in the network is changed in response to said power level calculations. (abstract, figures 3-4; paragraphs 43-53; As the output voltage level of the battery decrease, the data transmittable/receivable amount will decrease.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Muramatsu into the teachings of Szienski to decrease the burden on a network and acquire predetermined information quickly and smoothly.

Consider claim 2, and as applied to claim 1 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein storing initial parameters for said power supply of said communication device and periodically updating said power supply parameters, wherein said parameters include: a drain rate for each communication service available to said communication device; and an initial power source level upon connection to the network. (Szienski: pg 2, lines 7-15, 28-33; pg 3, lines 10-33; pg 6, lines 7-20; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21)

Consider claim 3, and as applied to claim 1 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said step of signaling said controller comprises instructions based on said power supply connections for one of receiving all of said data, redirecting all of said data to a predetermined location, and receiving a portion of said data and directing the remainder of said data to a predetermined address. (Szienski: figures 4-5; pg 7, line 17 to pg 8, 16; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21)

Consider claim 4, and as applied to claim 1 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said communication device is a battery operated remote sensor and said network is a wireless network. (Szienski: abstract)

Consider claim 5, and as applied to claim 4 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said network is a non-wireless network. (Szienski: pg 1, lines 4-10, 23-26)

Consider claim 6, and as applied to claim 1 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said communication device is a wireless mobile terminal and said network is a wireless network. (Szienski: abstract)

Consider claim 7, and as applied to claim 6 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said traffic is voice traffic and a voice call is begun on said mobile terminal at a first quality of service level according to an initially determined power level and power drain rate of said mobile terminal battery, and said voice call is continued at a second quality of service level according to a subsequently determined power level and power drain rate of said mobile terminal battery. (Szienski: figures 2 and 5; pg 6, line 22 to pg 7, line 4; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21)

Consider claim 8, and as applied to claim 6 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein a video message is presented for transfer and the audio

Art Unit: 2618

portion of the message is transferred but the video portion is redirected to a predetermined address and a message is sent to inform the recipient of said audio the location of said video portion. (Szienski: pg 7 line 16 to pg 8 line 28)

Consider claim 9, and as applied to claim 3 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said data comprises a Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS) message. (Szienski: pg 1 lines 8-11)

Consider claim 10, and as applied to claim 3 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said data comprises a video message. (Szienski: pg 7 line 16 to pg 8 line 28)

Consider claim 11, and as applied to claim 1 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said communication device is a wireless modem. (Szienski: pg 3, lines 6-8)

Consider claim 15, and as applied to claim 14 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein a database for storing initial parameters for said power supply of said communication device and periodically updating said power supply parameters, wherein said parameters include: a drain rate for each communication service available to said communication device; and an initial power source level upon connection to the

network. (Szienski: pg 2, lines 7-15, 28-33; pg 3, lines 10-33; pg 6, lines 7-20; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21)

Consider claim 16, and as applied to claim 14 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein means for signaling said controller to one of: receiving all of said data, redirecting all of said data to a predetermined location, and receiving a portion of said data and directing the remainder of said data to a predetermined address based on said power supply measurements. (Szienski: figures 4-5; pg 7, line 17 to pg 8, 16; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21)

Consider claim 17, and as applied to claim 14 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said communication device is a battery operated remote sensor and said network is a wireless network. (Szienski: abstract)

Consider claim 18, and as applied to claim 17 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said network is a non-wireless network. (Szienski: pg 1, lines 4-10, 23-26)

Consider claim 19, and as applied to claim 14 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said communication device is a wireless mobile terminal and said network is a wireless network. (Szienski: abstract)

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 20, and as applied to claim 19 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said traffic is voice traffic and a voice call is begun by said wireless mobile terminal at a first quality of service level according to an initially determined power level and power drain rate of a battery for said wireless terminal and said voice call is continued at a second quality of service level according to a subsequently determined power level and power drain rate of said battery. (Szienski: figures 2 and 5, pg 6, line 22 to pg 7, line 4; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21)

Consider claim 21, and as applied to claim 14 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein a video message is presented for transfer and the audio portion of the message is transferred but the video portion is redirected to a predetermined address and a message is sent to inform the recipient of said audio the location of said video portion. (Szienski: pg 7 line 16 to pg 8 line 28)

Consider claim 22, and as applied to claim 14 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said communication device is a wireless modem. (Szienski: pg 3, lines 6-8)

Consider claim 25, and as applied to claim 14 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein said means for determining said power drain rate further comprises periodically determining said power drain rate associated with said communication device when said communication device changes location during data

transmission. (Szienski: figures 2 and 5; pg 6, line 22 to pg 7, line 4; pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21)

Consider claim 26, and as applied to claim 1 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention wherein the step of determining a current power drain rate of said power source further comprises the step of periodically determining said drain rate when said communication device changes location during data transmission. (Szienski: figures 2 and 5, pg 6, line 22 to pg 7, line 4, pg 8, line 21 to pg 9 line 21)

Claims 12-13 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Szienski (UK Patent Application GB 2 366134 A) in view of Muramatsu (US 2001/0012774 A1) and in further view of Liebenow (6,459,896 B1).

Consider claim 12, and as applied to claim 1 above, Szienski, as modified by

Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention except for wherein said communication device is a

cordless phone system and said network is a public switched telephone network (PSTN).

In related art, Liebenow discloses a communication device that is a cordless phone system and said network is a public switched telephone network (PSTN). (col. 1, lines 55-57; col. 4, lines 1-15; col. 4, lines 44-45)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Liebenow into the teachings of Szienski and Muramatsu to notify a remote device of a low battery condition.

Art Unit: 2618

Consider claim 13, and as applied to claim 1 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention except for wherein said communication device is a personal digital assistant and connects to a PSTN by wirelessly connecting to a computer connected to said PSTN.

In related art, Liebenow discloses a communication device is a personal digital assistant and connects to a PSTN by wirelessly connecting to a computer connected to said PSTN. (col. 1, lines 10-15 and 55-57)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Liebenow into the teachings of Szienski and Muramatsu to notify a remote device of a low battery condition.

Consider claim 23, and as applied to claim 14 above, Szienski, as modified by Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention except for, wherein said communication device is a cordless phone system and said network is a public switched telephone network (PSTN).

In related art, Liebenow discloses a communication device is a cordless phone system and said network is a public switched telephone network (PSTN). (col. 1, lines 55-57; col. 4, lines 1-15; col. 4, lines 44-45)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Liebenow into the teachings of Szienski and Muramatsu to notify a remote device of a low battery condition.

Consider claim 24, and as applied to claim 14 above, Szienski, as modified by

Muramatsu, discloses the claimed invention except for wherein said communication device is a

personal digital assistant and connects to a PSTN by wirelessly connecting to a computer

connected to said PSTN.

In related art, Liebenow discloses a communication device is a personal digital assistant

and connects to a PSTN by wirelessly connecting to a computer connected to said PSTN. (col. 1,

lines 10-15 and 55-57)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to incorporate the teachings of Liebenow into the teachings of Szienski and Muramatsu

to notify a remote device of a low battery condition.

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

**Customer Service Window** 

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Art Unit: 2618

Examiner should be directed to Bobbak Safaipour whose telephone number is (571) 270-1092.

The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Lana Le can be reached on (571) 272-7891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Bobbak Safaipour

B.S./bs

March 1, 2008

PRIMARY EXAMINER